


REMARKS

In the Advisory Action, the Examiner stated that he agreed with Applicants in regards to the first, second and third information handling system claim limitations being supported within the provisional applications. However, the Examiner continued to assert that "digital television broadcast network" was still not supported by the provisional applications. The Examiner recommended in the Advisory Action that this limitation be changed to "cable television broadcast network." In order to move the application to being in condition for allowance, Applicants have amended the claims accordingly, though Applicants do not necessarily agree with the Examiner's reasoning in the Advisory Action. Applicants' attorney contacted the Examiner by telephone to determine if the Examiner would allow this Application if such an amendment to the claims was made. Though the Examiner stated he would have to check with his supervisor, the Examiner has stated that he believed the *Carney* Patent (U.S. Patent No. 6,408,278) to be the best reference he could cite. Should the Examiner have any further questions or concerns, he is respectfully requested to contact Applicants' attorney by telephone.

Respectfully submitted,

WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK P.C.
Attorneys for Applicant

By: 
Kelly K. Kordzik
Reg. No. 36,571

P.O. Box 50784
Dallas, Texas 75201
(512) 370-2851